

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,430	04/25/2005	Kenji Yamane	OGW-0365	1792	
	7590 12/28/2006 MAN & GRAUER PLLC		EXAMINER		
LION BUILDII	NG		MAKI, STEVEN D		
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	14, DC 20030		1733		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER'	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/532,430	YAMANE, KENJI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Steven D. Maki	1733
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timularly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL.	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 042505.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 10/532,430

Art Unit: 1733

1) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Shesterkin

3) Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shesterkin (US 2,268,344).

Shesterkin, directed to reducing tread cracking, discloses a pneumatic tire having a circumferential groove wherein ridges ("line portions") are provided at the base of the groove. The ridges are inclined for example at an angle of 45 degrees with respect to the circumferential direction. The ridges have a height less than 0.05 inch (1.27 mm). The groove contains at least 10 ridges per inch (at least one ridge per 2 mm).

The claimed tire is anticipated by Shesterkin. In claim 1, "line portions provided in a wall face of the groove" reads on and fails to define over the ridges provided in the base of the groove as shown in figure 4 of Shesterkin.

Heinen

4) Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (b), (e) as anticipated by Heinen (US 6,415,835).

Heinen discloses a pneumatic tire having a tread comprising a circumferential groove wherein both side surfaces of the groove are provided with peaks and valleys such that each valley extends continuously from one side surface to the other side surface. At least half the valleys follow imaginary lines skewed with respect to the median plane extending along the length of the groove by an angle of 45-90 degrees. The depth D1 of the peaks and valleys is 5-15% of the groove width. Preferably, the depth D1 is less than 3 mm. The pitch P1 of the peaks and valleys is less than 40% of the groove width. Preferably, the pitch P1 will be less than 5 mm.

The claimed tire is anticipated by Heinen. The claimed line portions read on the peaks or valleys.

5) Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinen (US 6,415,835).

Claim 1 is considered to be anticipated by Heinen. In any event: it would have been obvious to orient the peaks and valleys ("line portions") in Heinen's circumferential groove such that the peaks and ridges are inclined in one direction with respect to the longitudinal direction (claim 1) at an angle such as 10-60 degrees (claim 2) since

Application/Control Number: 10/532,430

Art Unit: 1733

Heinen suggests inclining the valleys at an angle of 45-90 degrees with respect to the median plane extending in the longitudinal direction to reduce skin friction drag along the groove surface and increase the flow of water from the groove.

As to claim 2, Heinen suggests an angle of 45-90 degrees which overlaps the claimed range of 10-60 degrees.

As to claim 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the peaks and valleys with a height of not smaller than 0.3 mm and not more than 20% of each of a width and depth of the groove in view of Heinen's teaching to provide the peaks and valleys with a depth D1 of 5-15% of the groove width / less than 3 mm and a pitch P1 less than 40% of the groove width / less than 5 mm.

As to claim 4, Heinen teaches a pitch P1 of less than 5 mm which overlaps the claimed range of 1.5 to 8.0 mm.

As to claim 5, Heinen discloses 100% of the wall face of the groove being provided with the grooves and valleys. See figure 7.

Remarks

- 6) The remaining references are of interest.
- 7) No claim is allowed.
- 8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven D. Maki whose telephone number is (571) 272-1221. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Fri. 8:30 AM 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1733

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven D. Maki December 21, 2006

STEVEN D. MAKI